NON-COMMUTATIVE CALLEBAUT INEQUALITY

MOHAMMAD SAL MOSLEHIAN¹, JAGJIT SINGH MATHARU² AND JASPAL SINGH AUJLA³

ABSTRACT. We present an operator version of the Callebaut inequality involving the interpolation paths and apply it to the weighted operator geometric means. We also establish a matrix version of the Callebaut inequality and as a consequence obtain an inequality including the Hadamard product of matrices.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on a complex Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ and let I be the identity operator. In the case when dim $\mathcal{H} = n$, we identify $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the full matrix algebra $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries in the complex field and denote its identity by I_n . The cone of positive operators is denoted by $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$. We also denote the set of all invertible positive operators (positive definite matrices, resp.) by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}_n, \text{ resp.})$.

The axiomatic theory for operator means for positive operators acting on Hilbert space operators was established by Kubo and Ando [14]. A binary operation $\sigma : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \times \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_+ \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$ is called an *operator mean* provided that

- (i) $I\sigma I = I$;
- (ii) $C^*(A\sigma B)C \leq (C^*AC)\sigma(C^*BC)$;
- (iii) $A_n \downarrow A$ and $B_n \downarrow B$ imply $(A_n \sigma B_n) \downarrow A \sigma B$, where $A_n \downarrow A$ means that $A_1 \geq A_2 \geq \cdots$ and $A_n \to A$ as $n \to \infty$ in the strong operator topology;

(iv)

$$A \le B \& C \le D \Longrightarrow A\sigma C \le B\sigma D. \tag{1.1}$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A63; Secondary 15A45, 47A30.

Key words and phrases. Matrix inequality, Hadamard product, tensor product, operator mean, interpolational path, operator weighted geometric mean.

It follows from the general theory of means [6, Theorem 5.7]) that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sigma B_j) \le (\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j) \sigma(\sum_{j=1}^{m} B_j). \tag{1.2}$$

There exists an affine order isomorphism between the class of operator means and the class of positive monotone operator functions f defined on $[0,\infty)$ with f(1)=1 via $f(t)I=I\sigma(tI)$ ($t\geq 0$). In addition, $A\sigma B=A^{1/2}f(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})A^{1/2}$. The operator monotone function f is called the representing function of σ . Using a limit argument by $A_{\varepsilon}=A+\varepsilon I$, one can extend the definition of $A\sigma B$ to positive operators (positive semidefinite matrices) as well. The dual σ^{\perp} of an operator mean σ with the representing function f is the operator mean with representing function f is the operator mean with representing function f is the operator mean with f operator means corresponding to the positive operator monotone functions $f_{\sharp}(t)=t^{1/2}$, $f_{\sharp p}(t)=t^p$ ($0\leq p\leq 1$) are the operator geometric mean f is f and f and the operator weighted geometric mean f is f and f and f is f and the operator weighted geometric mean f is f and f and f and f is f and f and f are the operator geometric mean f is f and f and f is f and f and f is f is f and f is f in f in f.

For an operator mean σ satisfying $A\sigma B = B\sigma A$ a parametrized operator mean σ_t is called an *interpolational path* for σ (see [6, Section 5.3] and [8]) if it satisfies

- (1) $A\sigma_0 B = A$, $A\sigma_{1/2} B = A\sigma B$ and $A\sigma_1 B = B$;
- (2) $(A\sigma_p B)\sigma(A\sigma_q B) = A\sigma_{(p+q)/2}B$, for all $p, q \in [0, 1]$;
- (3) the map $t \mapsto A\sigma_t B$ is norm continuous for each A, B.

It is easy to see that the set of all $r \in [0, 1]$ satisfying

$$(A\sigma_p B)\sigma_r(A\sigma_q B) = A\sigma_{rp+(1-r)q} B.$$
(1.3)

for all p, q is a convex subset of [0, 1] including 0 and 1. Therefore (1.3) is valid for all $p, q, r \in [0, 1]$, cf. [8, Lemma 1].

The power means $Am_rB = A^{1/2} \left(\left(1 + (A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^r \right)/2 \right)^{1/r} A^{1/2}$ ($r \in [-1, -1]$) are typical examples of interpolational means, whose interpolational paths, by [6, Theorem 5.24], are

$$Am_{r,t}B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - t + t \left(A^{\frac{-1}{2}} B A^{\frac{-1}{2}} \right)^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (t \in [0, 1]).$$
 (1.4)

One of the fundamental inequalities in mathematics is the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. There are many generalizations and applications of this inequality; see the monograph [4]. There are some Cauchy–Schwarz type inequalities for Hilbert space operators and matrices involving

unitarily invariant norms given by Jocić [11] and Kittaneh [13]. Morover, Niculescu [17], Joiţa [12], Ilišević–Varošanec [10], Moslehian–Persson [16], Arambasić–Bakić–Moslehian [2] have investigated the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and its various reverses in the framework of C^* -algebras and Hilbert C^* -modules. An application of the covariance-variance inequality to the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality was obtained by Fujii–Izumino–Nakamoto–Seo [9]. Some operator versions of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality with simple conditions for the case of equality are presented by Fujii [7].

In 1965, Callebaut [3] gave the following refinement of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality:

Given a real number s, non-proportional sequences of positive real numbers $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$, the function $f(r,s) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{s+r} b_i^{s-r}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{s-r} b_i^{s+r}\right)$ is increasing in $0 \le |r| \le 1$. If $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are proportional, then this expression is independent of r.

Thus one can obtain many well-ordered inequalities lying between the left and the right sides of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. In particular, if $0 \le t \le s \le \frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2} \le s \le t \le 1$, then

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j^{1/2} b_j^{1/2}\right)^2 \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j^s b_j^{1-s}\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j^{1-s} b_j^s\right) \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j^t b_j^{1-t}\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j^{1-t} b_j^t\right) \\
\le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_j\right) \tag{1.5}$$

for all positive real numbers a_j, b_j ($1 \le j \le m$). This triple inequality is well-known as the Callebaut inequality. Applying Hölder's inequality, McLaughlin and Metcalf [15] obtained it in a simple fashion. The method of Callebaut may be used for finding other interesting refinements of classical inequalities, see [5, 1] and references therein. Wada [18] gave an operator version of the Callebaut inequality by showing that if A and B are positive operators on a Hilbert space and if σ is an operator mean, then

$$(A\sharp B)\otimes (A\sharp B)\leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{(A\sigma B)\otimes (A\sigma^{\perp}B)+(A\sigma^{\perp}B)\otimes (A\sigma B)\right\}\leq \frac{1}{2}\left\{(A\otimes B)+(B\otimes A)\right\}.$$

The purpose of the paper is to present some noncommutative versions of the Callebaut inequality. More precisely, we give an operator Callebaut inequality involving the interpolation paths and apply it to the weighted operator geometric means. We also establish a matrix version of the Callebaut inequality and as a consequence obtain an inequality including the Hadamard product of matrices.

2. Callebaut inequality for Hilbert space operators

Our first operator version of (1.5) reads as follows:

Theorem 2.1. Let $A_j, B_j \in \mathcal{P}$ $(1 \leq j \leq m)$ and σ be an operator mean. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sharp B_j) \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \sigma B_j\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \sigma^{\perp} B_j\right) \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} B_j\right). \tag{2.1}$$

Furthermore, if σ_t is an iterpolational path for σ such that $\sigma_t^{\perp} = \sigma_{1-t}$, then

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \sigma_s B_j\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \sigma_{1-s} B_j\right) \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \sigma_t B_j\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \sigma_{1-t} B_j\right) \tag{2.2}$$

for s between t and 1-t.

Proof. Let f be the representing function of σ . Then

$$(A\sigma B)\sharp(A\sigma^{\perp}B) = \left(A^{1/2}f(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})A^{1/2}\right)\sharp\left(A^{1/2}(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})f(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{-1}A^{1/2}\right)$$

$$= A^{1/2}\left(f(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})\sharp\left((A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})f(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{-1}\right)\right)A^{1/2}$$

$$= A^{1/2}\left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}\right)^{1/2}A^{1/2}$$

$$= A\sharp B$$

$$(2.3)$$

for all $A, B \in \mathcal{P}$. It follows from (1.2) that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sigma B_j) \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j\right) \sigma\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} B_j\right)$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sigma^{\perp} B_j) \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j\right) \sigma^{\perp}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} B_j\right),$$

whence

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{j} \sigma B_{j}\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{j} \sigma^{\perp} B_{j}\right) \leq \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{j}\right) \sigma \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{j}\right)\right) \sharp \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{j}\right) \sigma^{\perp} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{j}\right)\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{j}\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{j}\right),$$

$$\left(\text{by}(\mathbf{1.1})\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{j}\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{j}\right),$$

$$\left(\text{by}(\mathbf{2.3})\right)$$

which gives the second inequality of (2.1). Now we prove the first inequality of (2.1):

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{j} \sigma B_{j}\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{j} \sigma^{\perp} B_{j}\right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left((A_{j} \sigma B_{j}) \sharp (A_{j} \sigma^{\perp} B_{j})\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{j} \sharp B_{j}. \qquad (by(2.3))$$

Next we prove (2.2). Replacing A_j and B_j by $A_j \sigma_t B_j$ and $A_j \sigma_{1-t} B_j$, respectively, in (2.1) and noting to $\sigma_s^{\perp} = \sigma_{1-s}$ we get

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sigma_t B_j) \sigma_s (A_j \sigma_{1-t} B_j)\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sigma_t B_j) \sigma_{1-s} (A_j \sigma_{1-t} B_j)\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sigma_t B_j) \sharp \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sigma_{1-t} B_j).$$

The first term of the inequality above, by (1.3), is

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j}\sigma_{t}B_{j})\sigma_{s}(A_{j}\sigma_{1-t}B_{j})\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j}\sigma_{t}B_{j})\sigma_{1-s}(A_{j}\sigma_{1-t}B_{j})\right)
= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j}\sigma_{ts+(1-t)(1-s)}B_{j})\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j}\sigma_{(1-t)s+t(1-s)}B_{j})\right)
= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j}\sigma_{ts+(1-t)(1-s)}B_{j})\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j}\sigma_{1-(ts+(1-t)(1-s))}B_{j})\right).$$

If s is between t and 1-t, then there is $s_0 \in [0,1]$ such that $s=ts_0+(1-t)(1-s_0)$. This shows that the desired inequality holds.

It follows from (1.4) that $Am_{0,t}B = A\sharp_t B$. Due to $A\sharp_t^{\perp}B = A\sharp_{1-t}B$, we infer that

Corollary 2.2. Let $A_j, B_j \in \mathcal{P}$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \sharp B_j \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \sharp_s B_j\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \sharp_{1-s} B_j\right) \le \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \sharp \sum_{j=1}^{m} B_j.$$

Moreover,

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j \sharp_s B_j\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j \sharp_{1-s} B_j\right) \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j \sharp_t B_j\right) \sharp \left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j \sharp_{1-t} B_j\right)$$

for s between t and 1-t.

Taking positive scalars a_j and b_j for A_j and B_j , respectively, in Theorem 2.1 we get

Corollary 2.3. The classical Callebaut inequality (1.5) holds.

3. Callebaut inequality for matrices

To achieve some Callebaut inequalities for matrices, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $0 \le r \le 1$. Then $A^r + A^{-r} \le A + A^{-1}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

Proof. Suppose that $A = U\Gamma U^*$ with unitary U and diagonal matrix Γ . Then

$$A^{r} + A^{-r} = U(\Gamma^{r} + \Gamma^{-r})U^{*}$$

 $\leq U(\Gamma + \Gamma^{-1})U^{*} = A + A^{-1}$

since $t^r + t^{-r} \le t + t^{-1}$ for any positive real number t and $0 \le r \le 1$.

Theorem 3.2. The function

$$f(t) = A^{1+t} \otimes B^{1-t} + A^{1-t} \otimes B^{1+t}$$

is decreasing on the interval [-1,0], increasing on the interval [0,1] and attains its minimum at t=0 for all $A, B \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

Proof. Let $0 \le \alpha \le \beta$. Taking $0 \le r = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \le 1$ and replacing A by $A^{\beta} \otimes B^{-\beta}$ in Lemma 3.1, we get

$$A^{\alpha} \otimes B^{-\alpha} + A^{-\alpha} \otimes B^{\alpha} \le A^{\beta} \otimes B^{-\beta} + A^{-\beta} \otimes B^{\beta}.$$

This further implies that

$$(A^{1/2} \otimes B^{1/2}) (A^{\alpha} \otimes B^{-\alpha} + A^{-\alpha} \otimes B^{\alpha}) (A^{1/2} \otimes B^{1/2})$$

$$\leq (A^{1/2} \otimes B^{1/2}) (A^{\beta} \otimes B^{-\beta} + A^{-\beta} \otimes B^{\beta}) (A^{1/2} \otimes B^{1/2}),$$

whence

$$A^{1+\alpha}\otimes B^{1-\alpha}+A^{1-\alpha}\otimes B^{1+\alpha}\leq A^{1+\beta}\otimes B^{1-\beta}+A^{1-\beta}\otimes B^{1+\beta}$$

Note that f(t) = f(-t), so f is decreasing on the interval [-1,0]. The last statement on minimum point is obvious from the preceding ones.

Corollary 3.3. The function

$$g(t) = A^t \otimes B^{1-t} + A^{1-t} \otimes B^t$$

is decreasing on [0, 1/2], increasing on [1/2, 1] and attains its minimum at $t = \frac{1}{2}$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{P}_n$.

Proof. The proof follows by replacing A, B by $A^{1/2}, B^{1/2}$ in Theorem 3.2, respectively, and then replacing $\frac{1+t}{2}$ by t.

The following theorem is our second version of the Callebaut inequality (1.5).

Theorem 3.4. Let $A_j, B_j \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then

$$2\sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j} \sharp B_{j}) \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j} \sharp B_{j})$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j} \sharp_{s} B_{j}) \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j} \sharp_{1-s} B_{j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j} \sharp_{1-s} B_{j}) \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j} \sharp_{s} B_{j})$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j} \sharp_{t} B_{j}) \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j} \sharp_{1-t} B_{j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j} \sharp_{1-t} B_{j}) \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j} \sharp_{t} B_{j})$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{j} \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{j} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{j} \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{j}.$$

for $0 \le t \le s \le \frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2} \le s \le t \le 1$.

Proof. In order to prove the above inequalities we first prove that the function

$$f(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sharp_t B_j) \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sharp_{1-t} B_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sharp_{1-t} B_j) \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sharp_t B_j)$$

is decreasing on [0, 1/2], increasing on [1/2, 1] and attains its minimum at $t = \frac{1}{2}$. By Corollary 3.3 the function $t \to C_i^t \otimes C_j^{1-t} + C_i^{1-t} \otimes C_j^t$, where $C_j = A_j^{-1/2} B_j A_j^{-1/2}$, is decreasing on [0, 1/2], increasing on [1/2, 1] and attains its minimum at $t = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence the function

$$t \to \left(A_i^{1/2} \otimes A_j^{1/2} \right) \left(C_i^t \otimes C_j^{1-t} + C_i^{1-t} \otimes C_j^t \right) \left(A_i^{1/2} \otimes A_j^{1/2} \right)$$
$$= \left(A_i \sharp_t B_i \right) \otimes \left(A_j \sharp_{1-t} B_j \right) + \left(A_i \sharp_{1-t} B_i \right) \otimes \left(A_j \sharp_t B_j \right)$$

is decreasing on [0, 1/2], increasing on [1/2, 1] and attains its minimum at $t = \frac{1}{2}$. Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j} \sharp_{t} B_{j}) \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j} \sharp_{1-t} B_{j}) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j} \sharp_{1-t} B_{j}) \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_{j} \sharp_{t} B_{j})$$

$$= \sum_{i, j=1}^{m} \left((A_{i} \sharp_{t} B_{i}) \otimes (A_{j} \sharp_{1-t} B_{j}) + (A_{i} \sharp_{1-t} B_{i}) \otimes (A_{j} \sharp_{t} B_{j}) \right)$$

therefore the function f(t) is decreasing on [0,1/2], increasing on [1/2,1] and attains its minimum at $t=\frac{1}{2}$. On using the fact that $f(1/2) \leq f(s) \leq f(t) \leq f(1)$, for $\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq t \leq 1$, or $f(1/2) \leq f(s) \leq f(t) \leq f(0)$, for $\frac{1}{2} \geq s \geq t \geq 0$, we get the desired result.

We have the following corollary as a consequence to Theorem 3.4.

Corollary 3.5. Let $A_j, B_j \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sharp B_j) \circ \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sharp B_j) \le \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sharp_s B_j) \circ \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sharp_{1-s} B_j)$$

$$\le \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sharp_t B_j) \circ \sum_{j=1}^{m} (A_j \sharp_{1-t} B_j)$$

$$\le \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_j \circ \sum_{j=1}^{m} B_j$$

for $0 \le t \le s \le \frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2} \le s \le t \le 1$.

The next result is a consequence of Theorem 3.4 with $B_j = I_n \ (1 \le j \le n)$.

Corollary 3.6. Let $A_j \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then

$$\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{m}A_{j}^{1/2}\right) \circ \left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{m}A_{j}^{1/2}\right) \leq \left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{m}A_{j}^{t}\right) \circ \left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{m}A_{j}^{1-t}\right) \leq \frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{m}(A_{j} \circ I_{n}) \quad (3.1)$$

for all $0 \le t \le 1$.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to sincerely thank Professor S. Wada and the anonymous referee for carefully reading the article and useful comments.

References

- H. Alzer, T. Ando and Y. Nakamura, The inequalities of W. Sierpinski and Ky Fan, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 149 (1990), no. 2, 497–512.
- [2] Lj. Arambasić, D. Bakić and M.S. Moslehian, A treatment of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality in C*-modules, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **381** (2011) 546–556.
- [3] D.K. Callebaut, Generalization of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 12 (1965), 491-494.
- [4] S.S. Dragomir, A survey on Cauchy–Bunyakovsky–Schwarz type discrete inequalities, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., 4 (2003), no. 3, Article 63, 142 pp.
- [5] C.J. Eliezer and B. Mond, Generalizations of the Cauchy-Schwarz and Hölder inequalities, Inequalities, III (Proc. Third Sympos., Univ. California, Los Angeles, Calif., 1969; dedicated to the memory of Theodore S. Motzkin), 97–101. Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [6] T. Furuta, J. Mićić Hot, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [7] J.I. Fujii, Operator-valued inner product and operator inequalities, Banach J. Math. Anal. 2 (2008), no. 2, 59–67.
- [8] J.I. Fujii and E. Kamei, Uhlmann's interpolational method for operator means, Math. Japon. 34 (1989), no. 4, 541-547.
- [9] M. Fujii, S. Izumino, R. Nakamoto and Y. Seo, Operator inequalities related to Cauchy-Schwarz and HolderMcCarthy inequalities, Nihonkai Math. J. 8 (1997) 117-122.
- [10] D. Ilišević and S. Varošanec, On the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and its reverse in semi-inner product C*-modules, Banach J. Math. Anal. 1 (2007), 78–84.
- [11] D.R. Jocić, Cauchy-Schwarz norm inequalities for weak*-integrals of operator valued functions, J. Funct. Anal. 218 (2005), no. 2, 318–346.
- [12] M. Joiţa, On the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in C*-algebras, Math. Rep. (Bucur.) **3(53)** (2001), no. 3, 243–246.
- [13] F. Kittaneh, Some norm inequalities for operators, Canad. Math. Bull. 42 (1999), no. 1, 87-96.
- [14] F. Kubo and T. Ando, Means of positive linear operators, Math. Ann. 246(3) (1979), 205-224.
- [15] H.W. McLaughlin and F.T. Metcalf, Remark on a recent generalization of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 18 (1967), 522–523.
- [16] M.S. Moslehian and L.-E. Persson, Reverse Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities for positive C*-valued sesquilinear forms, Math. Inequal. Appl. 12 (2009), no. 4, 701–709.
- [17] C.P. Niculescu, Converses of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the C*-framework, An. Univ. Craiova Ser. Mat. Inform. 26 (1999), 22–28.
- [18] S. Wada, On some refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Linear Algebra Appl. 420 (2007), no. 2-3, 433-440.

 1 Department of Pure Mathematics, Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS), Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir}$ and moslehian@member.ams.org

 2 Department of Mathematics, Bebe Nanaki University College, Mithra, Kapurthla, Punjab, India.

E-mail address: matharujs@yahoo.com

 3 Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Jalandhar 144011, Punjab, India.

E-mail address: aujlajs@nitj.ac.in